

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,833	04/12/2001	James K. Walker	NAN-105XC1	5073
23557	7590 01/11/2006		EXAM	INER
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
PO BOX 1429	ONAL ASSOCIATION 050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GAINESVILI	E, FL 32614-2950		1732	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/833,833	WALKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address -	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become AB	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	December 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 39-42</u> is/are pending in th	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 39-42</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1732

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 10, 24-30 and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blyler, Jr et al –018, either alone, or further in view of Blyler, Jr et al –808 for reasons of record noting the following.

Applicant has added claim 42 which calls for a specific continuous admitting rate into the heated enclosure of at least 5000 meters/hour. In that is maintained that one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have modified the processing of Blyler, Jr et al –018 as continuous, the exact rate at which the polymeric tube is admitted into the enclosure is submitted as being an obvious feature dependent on the size of the fiber, temperature employed and diffusion rate for the dopant expected.

- 2.Claims 2, 4-8 and 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blyler, Jr et al –018 in view of Koike et al –621, either alone, or further in view of Blyler, Jr et al –808 as set forth in the previous action.
- 3.Applicant's arguments filed December 12, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant repeats much of the same observations and objections to the rejection applied. However, as already noted, such is not persuasive of error in the rejection. While the embodiment of adding the buffer prior to the diffusion may indeed be for a batch process in the primary rejection, that fact has never been denied. Clearly, the primary reference is directed to a batch process, where the fiber is

Application/Control Number: 09/833,833

Art Unit: 1732

made, drawn and then placed in an oven on reels for the diffusion. However, this is done to test the properties of the fiber as desired. One of ordinary skill in this art, deciding that such testing is unnecessary, would clearly have desired to convert the batch processing of the primary reference into a continuous one. Blyler, Jr et al –808 is being applied to show that the formation of graded optical fiber using a diffusible additive is known to occur in a continuous manner. While the diffusing may occur prior to the winding of the fiber on a reel, such is not believed to be germane. Essentially, applicant continues to place a great deal of emphasis on the fact that the instant process is continuous. While admittedly the primary reference does not show a continuous process, the reason for this is due to checking the bandwidth of the fiber to ensure that such is not off spec. What if one of ordinary skill decided that such testing would not be necessary? Ordinarily, it is within the skill level to do away with a certain step if such is deemed unnecessary. If such were the case, would not applicant agree that the claims are obvious over Blyler, Jr et al –018?

Page 3

4.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,833 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot January 6, 2006 M. Viugst Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

1/6/06